



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/344,189	06/24/1999	CHARLES E. ROGLER	0342/1D888US	8764

7590

10/11/2002

DARBY & DARBY  
ANNE E ZITRON PH D  
805 THIRD AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10022

EXAMINER

PARAS JR, PETER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1632

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/344,189	ROGLER, CHARLES E.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter Paras, Jr.	1632	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Peter Paras, Jr. (3) Mitch Bernstein.  
 (2) Scott Priebe. (4) Charles Rogler.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2002 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_ .

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_ .

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_ .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant asserted that the specification provides implicit support for "a secreted urokinase-type plasminogen activator" in order to obviate the standing New Matter rejection. Applicant further asserted that Kay et al, as the primary reference in the art rejections of record, taught away from using a secreted urokinase-type plasminogen activator. Applicants discussed the possibility of using claim language directed to transgenic mice and further discussed using language suggested by the Examiner in the previous Office action.